

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/729,965	12/09/2003	Ari Minkkinen	612.43291X00	2197
20457 75	590 05/18/2005		EXAM	INER
	, TERRY, STOUT & SEVENTEENTH STRI	JOHNSON, E	JOHNSON, EDWARD M	
SUITE 1800	3B		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON,	VA 22209-3873		1754	

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/729,965	MINKKINEN ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Edward M. Johnson	1754	
Per	The MAILING DATE of this communication appriod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a re ly within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Sta	tus			
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 D</u>	December 2003	•	
2		s action is non-final.		
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under £		···	
Dis	position of Claims			
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application	I.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.			
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Apı	plication Papers			
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
1	$ 0)$ The drawing(s) filed on $\underline{09}$ December 2003 is/a	are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠	objected to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
1	(1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Pric	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
1	2)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		pplication No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			
	application from the International Bureau			
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not r	eceived.	
			,	
Atta	chment(s)			
1) 🔯	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)	
אר	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		/Mail Date	
	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/729,965

Art Unit: 1754

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35
 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to because the darkened sections of Fig. 1 obscure the numerical labels therein. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR

Application/Control Number: 10/729,965 Page 3

Art Unit: 1754

1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 16 appears to contain an improper Markush group.

Examiner suggests replacing "the group comprising" with --the group consisting of--.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/729,965

Art Unit: 1754

6. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pounds et al. US 5,462,721.

Pounds '721 discloses a process for removing hydrogen sulfide from natural gas comprising contacting with amine to produce a steam depleted of hydrogen sulfide (abstract, background).

Pounds fails to disclose contacting the thus depleted stream again with amine to remove water.

It is considered that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to repeat the disclosed amine contacting on the depleted stream because Pounds discloses that since hydrogen sulfide is corrosive in the presence of water and poisonous in very small concentrations, it must be removed from natural gas streams "before use" (see column 1, lines 45-50), which would obviously, to one of ordinary skill, at least suggest contacting to remove water from the natural gas after the hydrogen sulfide has been removed but "before use" of the natural gas.

Regarding claims 2 and 8, Pounds discloses a single circuit any concentration and about 85% (see abstract and column 6, lines 18-20).

Application/Control Number: 10/729,965 Page 5

Art Unit: 1754

Regarding claims 3-7, 9-14, Pounds discloses heating to below about 150 degrees (see column 6, lines 21-26), which would at least suggest distilling or expanding before the suggested second contact.

Regarding claim 15, Pounds discloses pumping (see Example 1).

7. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pounds '721 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Grierson et al. US 5,622,681.

Pounds fails to disclose methyldiethanolamine or dimethylethanolamine.

Grierson discloses methyldiethanolamine (see column 7, line 4).

It is considered that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the methyldiethanolamine of Grierson in the hydrogen sulfide removal process of Pounds because Grierson discloses the methyldiethanolamine in a process for removal of hydrogen sulfide from natural gas to scrub or "sweeten" refinery and natural gas streams (see abstract and paragraph bridging columns 6-7).

Conclusion

Page 6

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Turner et al. US 5,693,297 discloses a natural gas treatment method comprising contacting with amines (see abstract and Examples).

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward M. Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-1352. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley S. Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/729,965

Art Unit: 1754

All M. L.
Edward M. Johnson

Page 7

Examiner

Art Unit 1754

EMJ